Managing stress in humanitarian workers

Guidelines for good practice

Managing stress in humanitarian workers

Guidelines for good practice

© Antares Foundation, April 2005

Free copies of this first draft 'Managing Stress in Humanitarian Workers - Guidelines for Good Practice' can be obtained from the Antares Foundation. The latest updated version can be downloaded from www.antaresfoundation.org.

NGOs and other interested organizations or institutes may reproduce this material for their own use only. For any other use prior written permission from the Antares Foundation is needed. Please send all feedback, questions and enquiries to:

Antares Foundation
W.G. Plein 269
1054 se Amsterdam
The Netherlands
tel: +3 12 0 3308340
fax: +31 20 4221320
e-mail: antares@antaresfoundation.org
www.antaresfoundation.org

Contents

4	Introduction Background The Antares Foundation and CDC Objective The process and progress Model development Next steps
6	Guiding principle
7	The Principles
8	Principle 1: Policy Plan
10	Principle 2: Hiring, screening and assessing staff
12	Principle 3: Training and preparation of staff
14	Principle 4: Monitoring staff stress
16	Principle 5: Support with respect to daily stress
18	Principle 6: Support with respect to traumatic stress
20	Principle 7: End of assignment support
22	Principle 8: End of assignment specific support
24	Acknowledgement

Foreword

Foreword

Humanitarian relief and rehabilitation agencies have to operate in a continuing changing context of increasing complexity. Often the work of their staff in the field is seriously hampered by deteriorating security, decreasing respect for their work and life (relief workers are sometimes targeted), and lack of a functioning government and authority. Due to these circumstances the humanitarian agencies are forced to work under increasing tension and discontinuity, resulting in pressure on their staff and a dimnishing quality of work.

Managing stress in the staff of humanitarian agencies is an essential part of enabling the organization to reach its field objectives, as well as being necessary to protecting the well-being of the individual staff members themselves.

Although all stress cannot be avoided and is intrinsic to humanitarian work, some stress can be prevented or reduced. The consequences of stress in individual staff members can be mitigated or responded to by action taken by the individual staff members, managers and the agency as a whole. We believe that there is a responsibility within the individual team member and within the agency to address stress, for health and good practice reasons.

And, ultimately, our beneficiaries those who are affected by humanitarian crises, will also benefit.

This first draft of the Guidelines for Good Practice intends to help the agency and its staff to address stress within the organization and within themselves.

From my professional experience I cannot but recognise the possible negative effects of stress. Even the strongest may be a prey to stress that is not managed well. I advocate far more attention towards staff care in order to avoid serious problems.

As Chair of the Board of the Antares Foundation, I am proud to be able to introduce this first draft of the Guidelines for Good Practice. I invite you all to read, use and comment on it.

Pim Scholte, MD Chairman Antares Foundation

Introduction

Background

Humanitarian aid given through non-governmental organizations all over the world has developed from small-scale aid through private initiatives, missionaries, charities, communities and foundations to institutionalised organizations and bodies.

Though more professional, better equipped and prepared, the humanitarian organizations today are much more affected by the changing consequences of their work e.g. direct exposure to misery, magnitude of numbers of affected people of humanitarian crises, deteriorating safety and security context and limited available resources.

Good staff care and psycho social care for aid workers has proven to be an important asset in stress management, prevention and treatment of traumatic and posttraumatic stress.

Though the awareness on these issues exists in most organizations, adequate care systems for national and international staff are often undeveloped and lack attention and resources.

Having worked for and with national and international humanitarian agencies worldwide, the Antare Foundation has experienced the need and willingness to address stress on all levels in many organizations. Requests for information, tools and instruments have lead to the development of these Guidelines.

The Antares Foundation and the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

In 2001 the Antares Foundation and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Atlanta, USA jointly organized a first conference on 'Stress and the Humanitarian Aid Workers' in Amsterdam.

Fieldworkers, NGO managers, health professionals from all over the world came together, discussing various aspects on staff care, including psycho social care. This conference resulted in a long term project, inanced by CDC, with the objective to mitigate stress within humanitarian organizations. This will be achieved through raising awareness, working on Guidelines for Good Practice, Development of a model for NGOs to implement stress management in their organizations, and on an international longitudinal study on stress in humanitarian aid workers.

In this unique cooperation, practical experience and theoretical knowledge are combined and networks from research institutes, NGOs and health specialists are brought together, and are working towards an integrated approach for mitigating stress in the humanitarian aid workers.

Objective

The objective of the Guidelines for Good Practice is to facilitate the NGOs in defining their own needs in their organization. This will be different for every NGO, whether national or international, big or small. However, the principles should be universal, the implementation and indicators will depend on the context and culture of the organization.

The guidelines are meant as an orientation for organizations who are interested to build up their own staff care system.

This first draft is not meant to be prescriptive. It has been developed by national and international NGO workers and health specialists. We whole hearty invite you to provide us with comments, your feedback on feasibility, and your ideas and experiences with staff support.

Process and progress

This first draft is a result of three years work of the working group. The initial principles were developed in a three day meeting in Amsterdam in 2003 by the core working group. The research working group contributed with valuable comments during all those years. Participants of conferences gave their opinion and feedback from national and international field managers was gathered during meetings in Jerusalem, Melbourne and Tuzla. Bosnia.

The Code of Conduct of People in Aid has been a starting point. We fully embrace and acknowledge the Code and feel that these Guidelines are complementary to the Code.

Model development

During this whole process the working group members are also working on the development of a model for NGOs, which will assist NGOs to implement the guidelines in their organization. It will visualize the principles from these guidelines which will in turn assist as a tool for implementing stress management in the agency.

Next steps

The Antares Foundation will organize workshops, combining stress management training with gathering feedback on the feasibility of these guidelines in 2005-2006. These workshops will take place in the field in various countries and the participants will be the managers of NGOs.

Through our network of specialist we will also actively seek feedback and comments. In September 2006 a second draft will be presented in a new working document. We look forward to your experiences and ideas!

Guiding Principle

Managing staff stress is good management practice

Managing stress in staff of humanitarian aid organizations is an essential ingredient in enabling the organization to fulfil its field objectives, as well as necessary to protect the well being of the individual staff members themselves

Why is that important?

Humanitarian aid work is inherently stressful. While stress can be a source of growth and although many humanitarian aid workers withstand the rigors of their work without adverse effects, many others do not. Both aneodotal reports and empirical studies have abundantly documented the negative emotional consequences of exposure to these stressors on various groups of humanitarian workers. These adverse consequences may include post-traumatic stress syndromes, burnout, 'depression and anxiety,' over-involvement' or 'over-identification' with beneficiary populations or, conversely, callousness and apathy towards beneficiaries, self destructive behaviours such as drinking and dangerous driving, and interpersonal conflict with coworkers or with family members.

Staff stress and burnout have an adverse impact on the ability of the agency to provide services to those directly impacted. Workers suffering from the effects of stress are likely to be less efficient and less effective in carrying out their assigned tanks. They become poor decision makers and they may behave in ways that place themselves or other members of the team at risk or disrupt the effective functioning of the team. They are more likely to have accidents or to become ill. From the standpoint of the humanitarian aid agency itself, staff stress and burnout may impede recruitment and retention of qualified staff, increase health care costs, and create legal liabilities.

Humanitarian aid organizations bear a dual responsibility. They must effectively carry out their primary mission and, at the same time, they must protect the wellbeing of their own employees. The latter role goes beyond a mere duty to shield employees from harm and ensure that they are 'good workers,' however. The agency has a positive responsibility, growing out of and consistent with their overall humanitarian mission, to enhance growth and development amongst staff. The agency should be committed to encouraging staff to develop their own skills and knowledge and to

enhancing expertise which will increase the likelihood of the agency achieving its field-based objectives.

Although stress is intrinsic to humanitarian aid work, some stress can be prevented or lessened and the effects of stress on individual staff members can be mitigated or responded to by actions undertaken by individual staff members, by managers and supervisors, or by the agency as a whole. The following guidelines are intended to enable the agency to act in ways that minimize the risk of adverse consequences for its employees.

The principles and indicators are intended to apply to both international and national staff, office and field staff, recognizing that adjustments may be necessary to take into account the unique needs and characteristics of each group. The indicators are meant to be helpful with implementing the principles, they are quite comprehensive and may not all be feasible. We hope they give an understanding of how the principles can be reached and assist the agency in addressing stress within their organization.

The principles

Principle 1

Policy Plan

The agency has a written policy plan that accepts overall organizational responsibility for reducing the sources of stress, acting to prevent or mitigate the effects of stress, and responding to the unavoidable effects of stress.

Principle 2

Hiring, screening, and assessing staff

The agency systematically screens and/or assesses the suitability of staff members as part of the process of hiring and assignment.

Principle 3

Training and Preparation of Staff

The agency ensures that all employees have appropriate pre-deployment briefings and training.

Principle 4

Monitoring Staff Stress

The agency monitors the response to stress of its staff on an ongoing basis.

Principle 5

Support with Respect to Daily Stress

The agency provides training and support, on an ongoing basis, to help its staff deal with the daily stresses of humanitarian aid work.

Principle 6

Support with Respect to Traumatic Stress

The agency provides staff with specific support in the wake of 'critical incidents' ('traumatic incidents') and other unusual and unexpected sources of severe stress.

Principle 7

End of Assignment Support

The agency provides both practical and emotional support for staff at the end of an assignment or contract. This includes a personal stress assessment and review and an operational debriefing.

Principle 8

End of Assignment Specific Support

The agency has clear written policies with respect to the ongoing support they will provide to staff members who have been adversely impacted by exposure to stress and trauma through their work.

Policy Plan

Principle 1

The agency has a written policy plan that accepts overall organizational responsibility for reducing the sources of stress, acting to prevent or mitigate the effects of stress, and responding to the unavoidable effects of stress.

The plan reflects the agency's understanding of the impact of staff stress on their employees and on the agency's ability to serve their target population, and relates staff support to the overall organizational philosophy.

It describes specific policies, programs, and practices to create a comprehensive supportive environment for staff. It carries a commitment to examine all aspects of the agency's operations with respect to their effect on managing stress in employees. The plan includes measurable outcome indicators with regard to staff well-being.

Indicators

- The agency has a written policy for responding to stress:
 - a. normally expected stresses of humanitarian work; b. unexpected circumstances (such as forces evacuations or 'critical incidents')
- The agency has a written, specific risk assessment for each individual project. This should include:
 a. an assessment of the overall level of risk, of specific safety and security risks,
 - b. an assessment of health and mental health (emotional) risks to staff,
 - c. a specific strategy for risk reduction for that project.
- 3. The agency warns and educates potential workers about the risks of humanitarian work (including the risks of humanitarian aid work in general, the specific risks of the project(s) they will be assigned to, and any specific risks they may face as a result of their gender, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, nationality, or other distinguishing individual characteristics).
- 4. The agency commits itself to act in ways that reduce the stress on staff that stems from inadequate or dysfunctional organizational policies and practices. a. It has clear and firm policies forbidding discrimnation against staff based on sex, race, nationality or sexual orientation, and forbidding sexual, racial, and emotional barassment of any individual or
- group of staff members. b. It creates personnel policies that reduce potential organizational and 'bureaucratic' sources of stress and enhance staff resilience.

- c. It trains and evaluates managers and team leaders to ensure that they have the requisite competencies to lead teams in complex humanitarian aid environments.
- d. It creates an expectation that all staff do their best to develop and use personal stress management skills and they create opportunities and support for staff in doing so.
- e. It encourages and provides support for staff in developing their own skills, competencies, expertise, and knowledge and in ways that will increase the likelihood of the agency achieving its field-based objectives.
- 5. The agency explicitly recognizes that the needs of national staff and international staff are not identical and tailors its specific policies and programs to respond to the distinct needs of each of these groups.
- 6. Agency has regularly updated policies and practices with respect to reducing and mitigating the effects of stress on their employees include mechanisms for accountability to ensure that they are carried out at all levels of the organization.
- The agency asks its employees to comply with agency procedures, rules and regulations aimed at reducing stress.
- The agency encourages the individual staff member to hold the agency to its commitment, without fear of reprisal or discrimination and in complementary fashion

Comments

It would be easy to imagine that stress is something that happens to staff in the field solely as a result of their field experiences. In reality, every aspect of an agency's functioning, including linting and assignment procedures, contract terms, benefits, career development policies and opportunities, procedures for decision making, polices regarding communication and information sharing within the organization, provisions and procedures for supervision and support of field workers, rules and regulations concerning vacations, policies regarding work hours, policies regarding access to communications with home, grievance procedures, and the culture' of the organization itself, has an impact on the stress experienced by staff. As a result, stress management is not something that can be separated out from the rest of organizational functioning. It can not be assigned solely to a particular office or individual to manage. It can not be limited to actions the agency takes solely at times of unusual stress. It must, instead, be imbued throughout the organization, taken into account in designing a wide array or organization, a constantly re-evaluated. In the same vein, evaluating an employee for the effects of stress is not a one time affair, engaged in after an unusual event or solely at the end of an assignment. Monitoring of stress levels in individuals and acting to prevent or reduce adverse effects of stress is an ongoing process throughout the staff member's time of association with the agency.

Hiring, screening, and assessing staff

Principle 2

The agency systematically screens and / or assesses the suitability of staff members as part of the process of hiring and assignment.

Assessment is an ongoing process, focusing on factors possibly affecting the likelihood of adverse or maladaptive responses to the stresses of humanitarian work. Regardless of whether the staff member is hired by the central organization or locally, assessment begins before a decision is made to hire a staff member, continues throughout the briefing and training period for new staff members, and is renewed whenever an employee is to be offered a new position or assignment.

Indicators

- The agency has an evidence-based understanding of the minimum health and mental health requirements for high risk and high stress assignments (based on its own experience and that of similar agencies).
- Both prospective staff and staff seeking new assignments are screened and/or assessed with respect to factors possibly affecting the likelihood of adverse or maladaptive response to the risks and stresses of humanitarian aid work.
- The results of such screenings/assessments to suitably match staff member and assignment are used to suitably match staff member and assignment.
- 4. Appropriately educated and trained interviewers are used for screening and assessing staff.
- The individual seeking employment or assignment is held responsible about revealing information that may be relevant to assessing the risks involved in an assignment.

Comments

Screening or assessing new and ongoing staff addresses both the risks and stresses of humanitarian aid work in general and the risks and stresses specific to the particular project to which the worker will be assigned). It also considers factors relevant to creating an effective team.

Assessment includes evaluation of:

- a. physical and psychological health, past and present;
 b. influential life events (including past exposure to
- traumatic events and how they have been dealt with);
 c. personal characteristics such as resiliency, coping mechanisms, and motives for undertaking humanitarian aid work.
- d. the ability of the staff member to work in a team;
- e. how past difficulties in personal and professional life have been dealt with:
- f. the staff member's needs with respect to training and or support if they are to carry out their assignment effectively and with minimal adverse effects from the stresses of the assignment.

In carrying out such screenings and assessments, the agency adheres to legal obligations and ethical standards as to what can be asked and what should not be asked. It recognizes, however, that, if performing a job pro-

perly requires certain mental or physical characteristics, then inquiry into these characteristics and hiring or assignment decisions based on these standards is generally considered ethically and legally legitimate.

In carrying out such screenings and assessments, the agency recognizes that identifying in an individual risk factors for adverse responses to expectable stressors of humanitarian aid work is not necessarily a bar to employment but rather a guide to assignment, training, and other means of matching an individual's capacities to the demands to be made upon them.

The agency maintains transparency to the prospective or actual employee with respect to expectations and the nature of their future assignment. Conversely, the individual seeking employment or assignment is responsible for revealing information that may be relevant to assessing the risks involved in an assignment for that employee and the training and support that they would need to handle it successfully. Failure by the individual staff member to disclose such information mitigates the responsibility of the organization but does not release the organization from the responsibility of carrying out at horough assessment.

Training and Preparation of Staff

Principle 3

The agency ensures that all employees have appropriate pre-deployment briefings and training.

The briefing/training includes operational orientation, training with respect to safety and security, training with respect to physical (health) self care, training in cultural and political awareness issues related to the area of deployment, and training with respect to stress and emotional self care.

Indicators

- All staff has received an adequate briefing and training.
 The briefing and training address safety and
- a. The briefing and training address safety and security issues, physical (health) self care issues, and cultural and political issues relevant to the area of assignment, as well as direct stress management issues.
- New briefing and training is provided before deployment and when assignment changes appreciably.
- Supervisors and field managers are adequately trained and evaluated in stress management skills and capacities: They are able
- · to recognize stress in their subordinates,
- to engage in team building activities that help mitigate stress in staff,
- to respond appropriately to stress in their staff, and to call for assistance in times of unusual stress.

Comments

Training with respect to stress and emotional self care in the field has several elements.

In general, it should include

- a. education about the expectable stresses of humanitarian work (with as great a specificity as possible with respect to the particular assignment and with respect to risks faced by particular sub-categories of staff):
- education about the mechanisms of stress response and about how to recognize signs of stress, burnout, critical incident stress, and vicarious traumatization in oneself and fellow workers;
- c. training in specific stress management techniques and coping skills, (e.g., relaxation techniques, anger management techniques, self care, the value of sharing experiences with co-worker, the usefulness and limitations/risks of 'defusing' exercises, psychological 'first aid."
- d. education about the risks of common behaviours that are ineffective in coping with stress, such as heavy drinking;
- e. preparation for dealing with the emotional responses of survivors of traumatic events;
- f. provision of as much detailed, concrete information about actual conditions in the field as possible. Training in cultural and political awareness issues related to the area of deployment is also helpful.

Understanding of the best ways to respond to stress is a rapidly evolving field. The agency must be committed to a continued process of updating its knowledge and understanding of stress and of procedures for preventing, mitigating, or responding to stress and to incorporating this knowledge in its training programs and support programs.

Although not specifically addressing stress management, adequate preparation with respect to operational demands of a position, safety and security, self care (health care), and cross-cultural issues that may affect work all reduce stress on the worker.

Thus briefing and training should include

- a. operational orientation and specific preparation for the operational requirements of the project (including development of skills needed for working with a team);
- b. training with respect to safety and security in the field (including training with regard to risks common to all humanitarian aid assignments and detailed specific information about the risks to be expected in the particular assignment and training in responding to those specific risks):
- c. training with respect to physical (health) self-care in the field (including provision of information about pre-deployment immunizations and malaria prophylaxis) and education about self-care in the field, such as HIV-AIDS prevention, infectious disease prevention, food and water safety, nutrition, physical exercise, rest and sleep!
- d. training in cultural and political awareness issues related to the area of deployment. The briefing and training provided should be specifically tailored both to the characteristics of the assignment and the specific needs and characteristics of the individual staff member

Monitoring Staff Stress

Principle 4

The agency monitors the response to stress of its staff on an ongoing basis.

This can be done through informal observation by supervisors, periodic routine questioning by supervisors, routine administration to staff of self-report questionnaires, or periodic informal or formal group stress evaluation sessions.

Indicators

- The agency assesses staff members for signs of stress on a regular, routine basis as well as in the wake of crises and documents this.
- The individual staff members monitor and, if appropriate, report signs of stress in themselves.
- The agency has an explicit written policy that it will not responding punitively to any such revelations.

Comments

Most stress among humanitarian aid workers is the result of the ongoing, every day pressures of their work (e.g., separation from family, physically difficult living and working conditions, long and irregular hours, repeated exposure to danger, intra-team conflict). It may also result from non-job-related experiences (e.g., marital conflict, sickness or death in a staff member's family). Many aid workers develop a façade of toughness and believe that they shouldn't complain. Others may not recognize the signs of stress in themselves. It is the presence of the experience stather than worker complaints that should trigger agency scrutiny of stress responses in its employees.

The purpose of monitoring stress is to provide a more caring and enabling environment for staff. At the same time, there is a potential for stress evaluation (and subsequent requirements for staff to cooperate with stress reduction programs) to be seen by staff as intrusive or as means to evaluate or control them. To ensure staff participation and cooperation with stress management programs, the agency must explicitly recognize this potential problem and must seek to design policies and procedures that protect staff members from misuse of the process.

Support with Respect to **Daily Stress**

Principle 5

The agency provides training and support, on an ongoing basis, to help its staff deal with the daily stresses of humanitarian aid work.

The agency hold supervisors, team leaders, and field managers responsible (and accountable) for creating a 'culture of responsiveness' around safety. health, and mental health issues at the local (project) level. Team building, resolution of intra-team conflict, organizational practices that reduce stress, and encouragement of individual staff members' stress management activities are valued and given concrete support.

Indicators

- 1. The agency has written protocols in place regarding ongoing training and policies for support of staff with respect to safety and security, physical self-care. and emotional self care.
- 2. Stress assessment and stress management are part of the formal job description of supervisors and field
 - a. Training is provided to enable staff to meet this responsibility in a culturally competent and locally knowledgeable way.
 - b. Making use of this training part of its evaluation of staff's job performance.

- 3. Organizational practices are periodic reviewed with respect to their impact on staff stress and possibilities to mitigate stress.
- 4. Employees are engaged in good practices of self care with respect to their health, to safety and security, and to stress reduction.

Comments

Psychological support for staff is driven by the understanding that a high level of stressful experiences is inevitable in most humanitarian aid assignments and that, over time, most employees will feel the effects of this chronic stress. Providing such support should be routine and should not be dependent on demands or concerns expressed by the staff members themselves or by observations that an individual is 'under stress.'

The agency ensures that field managers and supervisors are trained and qualified with respect to knowledge of safety and security practices and procedures: knowledge of practices promoting physical health in the field: knowledge of the potential impact of organizational culture, policies, and practices on staff stress; techniques of team building, including facilitating communication and conflict management: ability to recognize signs of stress, burnout, and vicarious traumatization; and skills in stress management and psychological first aid. Field managers are expected to be role models for staff under their supervision with respect to conducting themselves in ways that mitigate stress (e.g., taking appropriate work breaks, carrying out stress reduction procedures such as relaxation exercises). The agency provides periodic refresher training in these areas for field managers and supervisors.

Much evidence suggests that social supports are the most important protective factor supporting workers in dealing with stress. From a management perspective,

team-building and managing of any conflict within the team are very high priorities. The agency also encourages and facilitates regular access to communication between staff members and their families or loved ones.

Many 'bureaucratic' aspects of work management practices can be sources of stress or can provide respite from stress. Although it is common for staff members to use the agency management style as a 'scapegoat,' this does not lessen the need to carefully analyze and correct agency practices that may, in fact, augment stress. The agency should have clear. written policies that specify maximum shift time (save in emergencies), maximum work load, time for required rest and recreation, and requirements that staff use leave or vacation time. The agency should have a clear written policy thatestablishing procedures to implement these standards and hold field managers and supervisors accountable for implementing these standards.

At the same time, the staff member, too, has an obligation to behave in ways that reduce the likelihood of adverse effects of stress. These include following routine safety and security and health self care guidelines promulgated by the agency, participating in stress reduction activities (such as regulating their own work schedule, taking breaks, taking time off, participating in agency provided stress reduction activities, and engaging in personal stress reduction activities).

Support with Respect to Traumatic Stress

Principle 6

The agency provides staff with specific support in the wake of 'critical incidents' ('traumatic incidents') and other unusual and unexpected sources of severe stress.

The agency has explicit standing plans that ensure it is promptly informed about any extremely traumatic experience that happens to one or more members of an aid team, and is able to respond rapidly.

Indicators

- All staff members are provided with explicit guidelines as to the kinds of 'critical incidents' that should be reported to the agency headquarters.
- 2. All managers and supervisors are trained in appropriate front line responses to traumatic incidents (e.g., psycho education, psychological first aid to individuals, managing team response to traumatic incidents, assessment of individual and team responses, and referral to or requests for follow up support and care when appropriate)
- 3. The agency makes psychosocial staff with specific training in psychological first aid available, on an 'as needed' basis, to consult with staff members after traumatic incidents or other sources of acute stress in staff
- The agency has standing arrangements with specialists in such interventions to provide assistance when it is needed.

Comments

Sources of extreme stress may include 'traumatic' or critical' incidents such as a serious motor vehicle accident, being kidnapped or taken hostage, experiencing a serious physical assault, being raped, having one's life threatened, or witnessing horrendous events happening to others (including other team members). Other sources of unusual stress may include emergency evacuations, other unplanned terminations of assignments, or personally traumatic events (e.g., acute family crises such as an unexpected death in the family).

Experiencing or witnessing such events very commonly though not universally causes distressing responses in those who experience them. These responses include, but are not limited to 'Post Traumatic Stress Disorder,' Acute Stress Disorder,' depression, anxiety, pathological grief reactions, destructive or self destructive behaviors, somatic complaints, and difficulties in interpersonal functioning (e.g., within the team). Even in the absence of direct exposure to horrific experiences, repeated exposure to accounts of the gruesome or terrifying experiences of others (e.g., aid recipients) may cause 'secondary' or 'vicarious' traumatization.

Responses may be evident in the immediate wake of a critical event or only after some delay, and may vary in form and degree. They may affect all staff who experience them or only some staff. However, the 'culture' of humanitarian aid work often leads to add workers denying or minimizing the distress they are experiencing or resisting efforts at providing them support. The response of the agency should be contingent on the occurrence of the event, not the expressed distress of team members.

Helping others deal with traumatic stress, whether due to direct exposure to traumatic events or due to 'secondary' exposure, requires specific training. Neither field managers nor psychosocial workers normally have such training. The agency should employ or contract with specialists in such interventions to provide assistance when it is needed

End of Assignment Support

Principle 7

The agency provides both practical and emotional support for staff at the end of an assignment or contract. This includes a personal stress assessment and review and an operational debriefing.

The agency helps staff members prepare for their return home or transfer to a new assignment. Especially in cases of unexpected termination of a project (e.g., emergency evacuation), the agency helps the staff member deal with the logistics of relocation as well as the potential emotional consequences.

Indicators

- The agency has a program for assisting staff who are completing an assignment to prepare for the stresses involved in leaving a project and returning home (or taking on another assignment).
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} 2. All staff receives an exit operational debriefing at the end of their assignment or contract. \end{tabular}$
- 3. All staff has access to a personal stress assessment and review at the end of their assignment or on an appual basis
 - The assessment is conducted by someone who is not associated with human resources management within the agency.

- The agency agrees that the staff member's confidentiality is maintained with respect to stress assessments and reviews.
- 4. The agency has standing arrangements to make pschosocial staff available to consult with staff members in the wake of an evacuation or other premature or unexpected termination of a project or contract.
- 5. The agency has an explicit commitment to provide support to help employees make necessary practical arrangements associated with relocation after an evacuation or other premature or otherwise unexpected termination.

Comments

It is easy to recognize the stresses of humanitarian aid work itself. The stresses associated with ending service and or returning home are more subtle, but can nevertheless be problematic. They include the pain of saying goodbye to people you have worked with closely, the concrete tasks associated with relocation, and the practical, interpersonal, and cultural difficulties in readjusting to life 'back home' or in a new assignment or new job.

Survival in the field depends, both practically and emotionally, on building strong emotional connections to other team members, to others in the aid community, and, often, to recipients.

Ending an assignment, whether in a planned or unplanned way, involves a myriad of practical tasks, many of which may be stressful. They may include finding a new housing, finding a new job or identifying a new assignment, dealing with professional issues such as updating credentials and licenses, dealing with health issues and insurance, and dealing with reverse culture shock. Employees should be encouraged and provided assistance in developing a 're-entry plan'.

A formal operational debriefing focuses on what the staff member did during their assignment, what happened to them, what the agency and they personally did well or poorly, and what can be done to enhance agency or individual performance. It includes an attempt to define the individual worker's needs with respect to future training and with respect to concrete needs associated with returning home or accepting a new assignment. Although an operational debriefing is not explicitly concerned with stress management, the experience of feeling listened to about field experience and agency practices and of the agency's demonstration of support for the employee's concrete needs during the re-entry transition can also reduce (or, if done poorly, increase) stress in the individual staff member.

By contrast, a more formal personal stress assessment and review focuses on how the worker has responded to the stresses they experienced during their period of service. It may explore what their experiences were, what their thoughts and feelings about these experiences are, and how they are dealing with those thoughts and feelings. It focuses especially on their current emotional state and any needs they may have for ongoing support or other interventions. It inclues further education about the impact of stressful experiences on an individual, including the possibility, however unlikely, of late-appearing and/or long-lasting emotional effects of work in the field. It explicitly addresses any need for further interventions to reduce adverse responses to stress.

Stress assessments and reviews may be useful ways of evaluating and addressing adverse responses to stress at any time during a worker's service with the agency. They should be routinely required of employees at the end of an assignment and, for staff on long term assignments, at least once a year. They should not be dependent on the staff member's having experienced unusual stresses on the job. They should never consist of a one-off intervention in which the staff member simply ventilates about their feelings. Rather they should focus on evaluating the stress level experienced by the worker as a result of their work and on designing appropriate follow-un interventions if needed.

In a stress assessment and review, an employee is asked to be open about personal feelings about their work. This can only be done in an atmosphere of confidentiality, in which the employee feels assured that their reactions will not affect their possible ongoing employment by the agency. For this reason, they should always be conducted by someone who is not part of human resources management, although they may be carried out by someone in the regular employ of the agency. In any case, the person conducting the stress assessment should have training in carrying out such reviews. This is a matter of less concern with respect to the operational debriefing.

Unplanned endings, whether the result of evacution, an unanticipated termination of a program, or a staff member's personal needs, present special problems. After an unplanned ending it is usually helpful for staff members who will not be returning to the site of their previous assignment to be asked to spend a few transitional days in a 'neutral' place, where they can think and reflect and plan, rather than abruptly returning 'home' or moving on to a new assignment. Unplanned endings also dramatically increase the stress of dealing with practicalties, such as transferring belongings back home. The agency should provide assistance with respect to such needs.

Many difficulties, both practical (e.g., difficulties finding a new position), interpersonal (e.g., marital conflict), and psychological (e.g., delayed grief reactions, difficulty readjusting to the home culture, or delayed post-traumatic reactions), emerge over the course of several weeks or months following the end of a a staff member's assignment or contract. Follow up by the agency, with offers of services or referrals to services if needed, and development of per support networks may reduce the resulting stress.

End of Assignment Specific Support

Principle 8

The agency has clear written policies with respect to the ongoing support they will provide to staff members who have been adversely impacted by exposure to stress and trauma through their work.

While laws in effect in many countries may provide a minimal level of protection or support for disabled workers, the agency itself evaluates what support it owes its staff.

Indicators

- The agency has a clear policy aimed at protecting the jobs of employees who have job stress-related disabilities such as 'burnout,' depression, or PTSD.
- The agency has policies for employees who are unable to continue working for the agency due to job-related stress or injury.

This addresses issues such as continuation of salary and benefits and provision (or financing) of medical and/or psychological services.

Comments

Humanitarian aid agencies' activities place their workers at significant risk of physical injury or adverse psychological effects. On occasion, these may make continued work in the field problematic. National laws vary in the requirements they place on employers in such circumstances and in the practical supports (e.g., income, health care) provided by the government itself. Regardless of national law, humanitarian aid agencies make all efforts to ensure that staff members who are physically or psychologically disabled as a result of their work for the agency can continue in employment. This may require assigning the staff member to a position in which they are less exposed to significant stress or trauma, for whatever time is required for recovery.

Humanitarian aid agencies duty to provide humanitarian aid to those in need extends to their own workers. In some cases, the extent of disability may make it impossible to offer ongoing employment. Agencies may provide disability insurance coverage to fill in gaps in governmental programs of support and insist that health insurance coverage for their staff includes adequate coverage for mental health services and includes provisions for the employee to maintain coverage if they are no longer employed by the agency.

Because of the many different national laws applying to agency staffing various countries, the agency gives especially careful attention to the impact of these issues with regard to national staff.

Acknowledgements

We thank the following persons for their contributions:

Alastair Ager, Queen Margaret University College, Edinburgh

Amanda Allen, University of Melbourne

Ton Berg, Oxfam

Marilyn Disirio, CDC, USA

Cynthia Eriksson, Fuller Theological Seminary, USA

John Fawcett, World Vision Erik Flapper, Antares Foundation

David Foy. Fuller Theological Seminary, USA

Frida Ghitis. International Insights. Inc.

Max Glaser, Consultant

Ton Haans, Consultant Reinhard Kaiser, CDC, USA

Barbara Lopes Cardozo, CDC, USA

Erik Misnelblom Bever, Antares Foundation

Viola Mukasa. SNV. Uganda

Miranda Olff, AMC, University of Amsterdam

Tineke van Pietersom, Antares Foundation

Jonathan Potter, People in Aid Bert van Ruitenbeek, Cordaid

Miriam Sabin, CDC, USA

Pim Scholte, AMC, University of Amsterdam Rolf Schwarz, De Geestgronden

Liz Sime, Care USA

Winnifred Simon, Antares Foundation Leslie Snider. Tulane University. USA

Jasminka Tadic-Husanovic, UHD Prijatelijice Tuzla/Bosnia

Albertien van der Veen, Humanitarian Consultant

Jos Weerts. Dutch Veteran Institute

Special thanks go to John Ehrenreich, for his valuable contribution especially with the reporting and editing, and to Albertien van der Veen for her technical assistance.

We thank People in Aid for their support and involvement with the working group, and for sharing their experiences with us.



Antares Foundation: advice • support • training

General information on the Antares Foundation:

Mission statement

The mission of the Antares Foundation is to improve the quality of humanitarian assistance and overseas development through advice, training and support.

Antares' areas of work

Training & Support

- Assistance with designing staff care and psychosocial support systems within humanitarian organizations for international and national staff.
- · Stress & security briefing and debriefing for field staff.
- Training and coaching in stress management to national and international NGOs.
- Direct psychosocial support after critical incidents and prolonged severe stress in teams.

Management support

To the managers of humanitarian organizations the Antares Foundation offers project-analysis and evaluation, tools for project management and direct coaching and support in the field.

Consultancies

- $\hbox{\bf \cdot} Assessments of management systems, HRM systems, psychosocial support systems or any particular issues related to project management. \\$
- · Project evaluations: management and staff care issues and of mental health projects.

Conference

Antares – international conferences or workshops in Amsterdam on a yearly basis on Managing Stress of the Humanitarian Aid Worker.

Research & Publications

The Antares Foundation cooperates with academic institutions in research projects and in developing psychosocial systems and professional management tools.

Advocacy & Lobby

To raise awareness of the urgency of her mission the Antares Foundation develops guidelines, models, education modules and raises awareness amongst NGOs and donors.

For further information, please look at our website www.antaresfoundation.org or contact the Antares Foundation, see address below.

W.G. Plein 269, 1054 se Amsterdam - The Netherlands antares@antaresfoundation.org www.antaresfoundation.org

telephone +31(0)20 3308340, fax +31(0)20 4221320

Bank account: 39.38.16.966



antares foundatrion | wg-plein 269 | 1054 se amsterdam | the netherlands
telephone +31 20 330 83 40 | fax +31 20 422 13 20
www.antaresfoundation.org | antares@antaresfoundation.org
bank 39.38.16.966 | chamber of commerce amsterdam nr. 34115815